

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/828,451	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SKAKOON ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Kathryn Odland	<b>Art Unit</b> 3743	

**All Participants:**

 (1) Kathryn Odland.

 (2) Suneel Arora.
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 28 May 2004
**Time:** \_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

90, 103, 107, 111, 112, 116, 120, 125, and 127

Prior art documents discussed:

Baudino (US 5,927,277) and Dressen et al. (US 5,843,150)

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant was contacted to request amending the above mentioned claims to more clearly define the invention and overcome the prior art of record*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



Kathryn Odland  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)